

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/801,616	03/16/2004		Teodoro Concetti	22868	2944		
535	7590	06/29/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
THE FIRM 5676 RIVER			PARADISO, J	PARADISO, JOHN ROGER			
PO BOX 900		VENOL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
RIVERDALE (BRONX), NY 10471-0900				3721			

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			v
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/801,616	CONCETTI, TEODORO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	John R. Paradiso	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 58-77 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 58-77 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the E frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 4/12/2006 have been fully considered but are considered moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over RAUSING
 ET AL (US 3531908).

RAUSING ET AL discloses a method and apparatus for filling bags (1) by lowering a filling apparatus (3) to the base of the bag, dispensing bulk liquid (5) into the bag, and simultaneously raising the filling apparatus as the fill level of the bag rises. When the filling apparatus has reached a set point at the mouth of the bag, the fill operation ceases and the bag is closed (8).

Regarding claims 60 and 71, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to raise or lower the filling apparatus at whatever speed is best for the dispensing process, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Regarding claims 61 and 72, Applicant is given Official Notice that the use of weight sensors to monitor the weight of dispensed product is well known in the art and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a weight sensor to monitor dispensed product in order to increase accuracy of the filling process.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,616 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claims 66 and 77, the uptake of the initial nitrogen purge is being read on the claimed aspirating of dust.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. – 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Examiner John Paradiso: (571) 272-4466

June 27, 2006

Additional Phone Numbers:

Supervisor Rinaldi Rada: (571) 308-7135 Fax (Official): (571) 273-8300

Fax (Direct to Examiner) (571) 273-4466 (Drafts only)